

References

- Aaron, B., Kromrey, J. D., & Ferron, J. (1998, November). *Equating r-based and d-based effect size indices: Problems with a commonly recommended formula*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the Florida Educational Research Association, Orlando, FL. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 433353).
- Aguinis, H., & Whitehead, R. (1997). Sampling variance in the correlation coefficient under indirect range restriction: Implications for validity generalization. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 82*, 528–538.
- Alexander, R. A., Hanges, P. J., & Alliger, G. M. (1985). An empirical examination of two transformations of sample correlations. *Educational and Psychological Measurement, 45*, 797–801.
- Alexander, R. A., Scozarro, M. J., & Borodkin, L. J. (1989). Statistical and empirical examination of the chi-square test for homogeneity of correlations in meta-analysis. *Psychological Bulletin, 106*, 329–331.
- American Psychological Association. (2001). *Publication manual of the American Psychological Association* (5th ed.). Washington, DC: Author.
- Andersson, G. (1999). The role of meta-analysis in the significance test controversy. *European Psychologist, 4*, 75–82.
- Bailar, J. C., III. (1995). The practice of meta-analysis. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 48*, 149–157.
- Bangert-Drowns, R. L. (1986). Review of developments in meta-analytic method. *Psychological Bulletin, 99*, 388–399.
- Barnett, V. (1981). *Comparative statistical inference* (2nd ed.). New York: Wiley.
- Barrick, M. R., & Mount, M. K. (2003). Impact of meta-analysis methods on understanding personality–performance relations. In K. R. Murphy (Ed.), *Validity generalization: A critical review* (pp. 197–221). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Beaman, A. L. (1991). An empirical comparison of meta-analytic and traditional reviews. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, 17*, 252–257.
- Beelmann, A., & Bliesener, T. (1994). Aktuelle Probleme und Strategien der Metaanalyse [Current problems and strategies in meta-analysis]. *Psychologische Rundschau, 45*, 211–233.
- Begg, C. B. (1994). Publication bias. In H. M. Cooper & L. V. Hedges (Eds.), *The handbook of research synthesis* (pp. 399–409). New York: Russell Sage Foundation.
- Biggerstaff, B. J., & Tweedie, R. L. (1997). Incorporating variability in estimates of heterogeneity in the random effects model in meta-analysis. *Statistics in Medicine, 16*, 753–768.
- Bobko, P., & Stone-Romero, E. F. (1998). Meta-analysis may be another useful research tool, but it is not a panacea. *Research in Personnel and Human Resources Management, 16*, 359–397.

- Böhning, D. (2000). *Computer-assisted analysis of mixtures and applications: Meta-analysis, disease mapping and others*. Boca Raton, FL: Chapman & Hall/CRC.
- Böhning, D., Malzahn, U., Dietz, E., Schlattmann, P., Viwatwongkasem, C., & Biggeri, A. (2002). Some general points in estimating heterogeneity variance with the DerSimonian-Laird estimator. *Biostatistics, 3*, 445-457.
- Böhning, D., Schlattmann, P., & Lindzey, B. G. (1992). Computer assisted analysis of mixtures (C.A.MAN): Statistical algorithms. *Biometrics, 48*, 283-303.
- Boorsbom, D., & Mellenbergh, G. J. (2002). True scores, latent variables, and constructs: A comment on Schmidt and Hunter. *Intelligence, 30*, 505-514.
- Brockwell, S. E., & Gordon, I. R. (2001). A comparison of statistical methods for meta-analysis. *Statistics in Medicine, 20*, 825-840.
- Burke, M. J. (1984). Validity generalization: A review and critique of the correlation model. *Personnel Psychology, 37*, 93-115.
- Callender, J. C., & Osburn, H. G. (1980). Development and test of a new model for validity generalization. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 65*, 543-558.
- Callender, J. C., & Osburn, H. G. (1988). Unbiased estimation of sampling variance of correlations. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 73*, 312-315.
- Callender, J. C., Osburn, H. G., Greener, J. M., & Ashworth, S. (1982). Multiplicative validity generalization model: Accuracy of estimates as a function of sample size, mean, variance, and shape of the distribution of true validities. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 67*, 859-867.
- Chalmers, T. C., Smith, H., Jr., Blackburn, B., Silverman, B., Schroeder, B., Reitman, D., & Ambroz, A. (1981). A method for assessing the quality of a randomized control trial. *Controlled Clinical Trials, 2*, 31-49.
- Chow, S. L. (1988). Significance test or effect size? *Psychological Bulletin, 103*, 105-110.
- Chow, S. L. (1996). *Statistical significance*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Cohen, J. (1988). *Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences* (2nd ed.). Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Cohen, J. (1992). A power primer. *Psychological Bulletin, 112*, 155-159.
- Cohn, L. D., & Becker, B. J. (2003). How meta-analysis increases statistical power. *Psychological Methods, 8*, 243-253.
- Cook, D. J., Sackett, D. L., & Spitzer, W. O. (1995). Methodologic guidelines for systematic reviews of randomized control trials in health care from the Potsdam consultation on meta-analysis. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 48*, 167-171.
- Cook, T. D., & Leviton, L. C. (1980). Reviewing the literature: A comparison of traditional methods with meta-analysis. *Journal of Personality, 48*, 449-472.
- Cooper, H. M. (1982). Scientific guidelines for conducting integrative research reviews. *Review of Educational Research, 52*, 291-302.
- Cooper, H. M., & Hedges, L. V. (1994a). Research synthesis as a scientific enterprise. In H. M. Cooper & L. V. Hedges (Eds.), *The handbook of research synthesis* (pp. 3-14). New York: Russell Sage Foundation.
- Cooper, H. M., & Hedges, L. V. (Eds.). (1994b). *The handbook of research synthesis*. New York: Russell Sage Foundation.

- Cooper, H. M., & Lindsay, J. L. (1998). Research synthesis and meta-analysis. In L. Bickman & D. J. Rog (Eds.), *Handbook of applied social research methods* (pp. 315–337). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Corey, D. M., Dunlap, W. P., & Burke, M. J. (1998). Averaging correlations: Expected values and bias in combined Pearson r s and Fisher's z transformations. *The Journal of General Psychology*, *125*, 245–261.
- Cornwell, J. M. (1988, August). *Content analysis of meta-analytic studies from I/O psychology*. Paper presented at the 96th annual meeting of the American Psychological Association, Atlanta, GA. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 304469).
- Cornwell, J. M. (1993). Monte Carlo comparisons of three tests for homogeneity of independent correlations. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, *53*, 605–618.
- Cornwell, J. M., & Ladd, R. T. (1993). Power and accuracy of the Schmidt and Hunter meta-analytic procedures. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, *53*, 877–895.
- Cortina, J. M. (2003). Apples and oranges (and pears, oh my!): The search for moderators in meta-analysis. *Organizational Research Methods*, *6*, 415–439.
- Cronbach, L. J., Gleser, G. C., Nanda, H., & Rajaratnam, N. (1972). *The dependability of behavioral measurements*. New York: Wiley.
- Czienskowski, U. (2003). Meta-analysis — not just research synthesis. In R. Schulze, H. Holling, & D. Böhning (Eds.), *Meta-analysis: New developments and applications in medical and social sciences* (pp. 141–152). Seattle, WA: Hogrefe & Huber.
- DerSimonian, R., & Laird, N. M. (1983). Evaluating the effect of coaching on SAT scores: A meta-analysis. *Harvard Educational Review*, *53*, 1–15.
- DerSimonian, R., & Laird, N. M. (1986). Meta-analysis in clinical trials. *Controlled Clinical Trials*, *7*, 177–188.
- Dickersin, K., & Berlin, J. A. (1992). Meta-analysis: State-of-the-science. *Epidemiologic Reviews*, *14*, 154–176.
- Donner, A., & Rosner, B. (1980). On inferences concerning a common correlation coefficient. *Applied Statistics*, *29*, 69–76.
- Duan, B., & Dunlap, W. P. (1997). The accuracy of different methods for estimating the standard error of correlations corrected for range restriction. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, *57*, 254–265.
- Dunlap, W. P., Cortina, J. M., Vaslow, J. B., & Burke, M. J. (1996). Meta-analysis of experiments with matched groups or repeated measures designs. *Psychological Methods*, *1*, 170–177.
- Durlak, J. A. (2003). Basic principles of meta-analysis. In M. Roberts & S. S. Ilardi (Eds.), *Handbook of research methods in clinical psychology* (pp. 196–209). Malden, MA: Blackwell.
- Eckes, T., & Six, B. (1994). Fakten und Fiktionen in der Einstellungs–Verhaltens–Forschung: Eine Meta-Analyse [Facts and fiction in attitude–behavior research: A meta-analysis]. *Zeitschrift für Sozialpsychologie*, *25*, 253–271.
- Erez, A., Bloom, M. C., & Wells, M. T. (1996). Using random rather than fixed effects models in meta-analysis: Implications for situational specificity and validity generalization. *Personnel Psychology*, *49*, 275–306.

- Eysenck, H.-J. (1978). An exercise in mega-silliness. *American Psychologist*, *33*, 517.
- Farley, J. U., Lehmann, D. R., & Ryan, M. J. (1981). Generalizing from "imperfect" replication. *Journal of Business*, *54*, 597–610.
- Farrell, S., & Hakstian, A. R. (2001). Improving salesforce performance: A meta-analytic investigation of the effectiveness and utility of personnel selection procedures and training interventions. *Psychology & Marketing*, *18*, 281–316.
- Feinstein, A. R. (1995). Meta-analysis: Statistical alchemy for the 21st century. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology*, *48*, 71–79.
- Field, A. P. (2001). Meta-analysis of correlation coefficients: A Monte Carlo comparison of fixed- and random-effects methods. *Psychological Methods*, *6*, 161–180.
- Fisher, R. A. (1915). Frequency distribution of the values of the correlation coefficient in samples from an indefinitely large population. *Biometrika*, *10*, 507–521.
- Fisher, R. A. (1921). On the "probable error" of a coefficient of correlation deduced from a small sample. *Metron*, *1*, 1–32.
- Frick, R. W. (1998). Interpreting statistical testing: Process and propensity, not population and random sampling. *Behavior Research Methods, Instruments, & Computers*, *30*, 527–535.
- Friedman, L. (2000). Estimators of random effects variance components in meta-analysis. *Journal of Educational and Behavioral Statistics*, *25*, 1–12.
- Fuller, J. B., & Hester, K. (1999). Comparing the sample-weighted and unweighted meta-analysis: An applied perspective. *Journal of Management*, *25*, 803–828.
- Gadenne, V. (1984). *Theorie und Erfahrung in der psychologischen Forschung [Theory and experience in psychological research]*. Tübingen: J.C.B. Mohr.
- Glass, G. V. (1976). Primary, secondary and metaanalysis research. *Educational Researcher*, *5*, 3–8.
- Glass, G. V., McGaw, B., & Smith, M. L. (1981). *Meta-analysis in social research*. Beverly Hills, CA: Sage.
- Hall, J. A., Tickle-Degnen, L., Rosenthal, R., & Mosteller, F. (1994). Hypotheses and problems in research synthesis. In H. M. Cooper & L. V. Hedges (Eds.), *The handbook of research synthesis* (pp. 17–38). New York: Russell Sage Foundation.
- Hall, S. M., & Brannick, M. T. (2002). Comparison of two random-effects methods of meta-analysis. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, *87*, 377–389.
- Halvorsen, K. T. (1994). The reporting format. In H. M. Cooper & L. V. Hedges (Eds.), *The handbook of research synthesis* (pp. 425–437). New York: Russell Sage Foundation.
- Hardy, R. J., & Thompson, S. G. (1998). Detecting and describing heterogeneity in meta-analysis. *Statistics in Medicine*, *17*, 841–856.
- Harley, B. I. (1957). Relation between the distributions of non-central *t* and of a transformed correlation coefficient. *Biometrika*, *44*, 219–224.
- Harlow, L. L., Mulaik, S. A., & Steiger, J. H. (Eds.). (1997). *What if there were no significance tests?* Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Harris, R. J. (1997). Reforming significance testing via three-valued logic. In L. L. Harlow, S. A. Mulaik, & J. H. Steiger (Eds.), *What if there were no significance tests?* (pp. 145–174). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.

- Hartung, J., Argac, D., & Makambi, K. (2003). Homogeneity tests in meta-analysis. In R. Schulze, H. Holling, & D. Böhning (Eds.), *Meta-analysis: New developments and applications in medical and social sciences* (pp. 3–20). Seattle, WA: Hogrefe & Huber.
- Hartung, J., & Knapp, G. (2003). An alternative test procedure for meta-analysis. In R. Schulze, H. Holling, & D. Böhning (Eds.), *Meta-analysis: New developments and applications in medical and social sciences* (pp. 53–69). Seattle, WA: Hogrefe & Huber.
- Harwell, M. (1997). An empirical study of Hedges's homogeneity test. *Psychological Methods, 2*, 219–231.
- Hedges, L. V. (1981). Distribution theory for Glass's estimator of effect size and related estimators. *Journal of Educational Statistics, 6*, 107–128.
- Hedges, L. V. (1982a). Estimation of effect size from a series of independent experiments. *Psychological Bulletin, 92*, 490–499.
- Hedges, L. V. (1982b). Fitting categorical models to effect sizes from series of experiments. *Journal of Educational Statistics, 7*, 119–137.
- Hedges, L. V. (1982c). Fitting continuous models to effect size data. *Journal of Educational Statistics, 7*, 245–270.
- Hedges, L. V. (1983a). Combining independent estimators in research synthesis. *British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology, 36*, 123–131.
- Hedges, L. V. (1983b). A random effects model for effect sizes. *Psychological Bulletin, 93*, 388–395.
- Hedges, L. V. (1987). How hard is hard science, how soft is soft science? *American Psychologist, 42*, 443–455.
- Hedges, L. V. (1988). The meta-analysis of test validity studies: Some new approaches. In H. Wainer & H. I. Braun (Eds.), *Test validity* (pp. 191–212). Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Hedges, L. V. (1989). An unbiased correction for sampling error. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 74*, 469–477.
- Hedges, L. V. (1991). Methodological aspects of the synthesis of social prevention research. In G. Albrecht & H.-U. Otto (Eds.), *Social prevention and the social sciences: Theoretical controversies, research problems, and evaluation strategies* (pp. 353–380). Berlin: de Gruyter.
- Hedges, L. V. (1994a). Fixed effects models. In H. M. Cooper & L. V. Hedges (Eds.), *The handbook of research synthesis* (pp. 285–299). New York: Russell Sage Foundation.
- Hedges, L. V. (1994b). Statistical considerations. In H. M. Cooper & L. V. Hedges (Eds.), *The handbook of research synthesis* (pp. 29–38). New York: Russell Sage Foundation.
- Hedges, L. V., & Olkin, I. (1985). *Statistical methods for meta-analysis*. London: Academic Press.
- Hedges, L. V., & Pigott, T. D. (2001). The power of statistical tests in meta-analysis. *Psychological Methods, 6*, 203–217.
- Hedges, L. V., & Vevea, J. L. (1996). Estimating effect size under publication bias: Small sample properties and robustness of a random effects selection model. *Journal of Educational and Behavioral Statistics, 21*, 299–332.

- Hedges, L. V., & Vevea, J. L. (1998). Fixed- and random effects models in meta-analysis. *Psychological Methods, 3*, 486–504.
- Hellekalek, P. (1998). Good random number generators are (not so) easy to find. *Mathematics and Computers in Simulation, 46*, 485–505.
- Hermelin, E., & Robertson, I. T. (2001). A critique and standardization of meta-analytic validity coefficients in personnel selection. *Journal of Occupational and Organizational Psychology, 74*, 253–277.
- Hite, P. A. (1987). An application of meta-analysis for bankruptcy prediction studies. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes, 39*, 155–161.
- Holling, H., & Schulze, R. (in press). Statistische Modelle und Auswertungsverfahren in der Organisationspsychologie [Statistical methods and data analysis procedures in organizational psychology]. In H. Schuler (Ed.), *Enzyklopädie der Psychologie: Themenbereich D Praxisgebiete, Serie III Wirtschafts-, Organisations-, und Arbeitspsychologie, Band 3 Organisationspsychologie — Grundlagen und Personalpsychologie*. Göttingen: Hogrefe.
- Hotelling, H. (1953). New light on the correlation coefficient and its transforms. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society, Series B, 15*, 193–232.
- Hox, J. J., & de Leeuw, E. D. (2003). Multilevel models for meta-analysis. In S. P. Reise & N. Duan (Eds.), *Multilevel modeling: Methodological advances, issues, and applications* (pp. 90–111). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Hubbard, R., Parsa, R. A., & Luthy, M. R. (1997). The spread of statistical significance testing in psychology. *Theory & Psychology, 7*, 545–554.
- Huffcutt, A. I. (2002). Research perspectives on meta-analysis. In S. G. Rogelberg (Ed.), *Handbook of research methods in industrial and organizational psychology* (pp. 198–215). Oxford: Blackwell.
- Hunt, M. (1997). *How science takes stock: The story of meta-analysis*. New York: Russell Sage Foundation.
- Hunter, J. E., & Hirsh, H. R. (1987). Applications of meta-analysis. In C. L. Cooper & I. T. Robertson (Eds.), *International review of industrial and organizational psychology* (pp. 321–357). New York: Wiley.
- Hunter, J. E., & Schmidt, F. L. (1990). *Methods of meta-analysis: Correcting error and bias in research findings*. Newbury Park, CA: Sage.
- Hunter, J. E., & Schmidt, F. L. (1994a). Correcting for sources of artifactual variation across studies. In H. M. Cooper & L. V. Hedges (Eds.), *The handbook of research synthesis* (pp. 323–336). New York: Russell Sage Foundation.
- Hunter, J. E., & Schmidt, F. L. (1994b). Estimation of sampling error variance in the meta-analysis of correlations: Use of average correlation in the homogeneous case. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 79*, 171–177.
- Hunter, J. E., & Schmidt, F. L. (1996). Cumulative research knowledge and social policy formulation: The critical role of meta-analysis. *Psychology, Public Policy, and Law, 2*, 324–347.
- Hunter, J. E., & Schmidt, F. L. (2000). Fixed effects vs. random effects meta-analysis models: Implications for cumulative research knowledge. *International Journal of Selection and Assessment, 8*, 275–292.
- Hunter, J. E., Schmidt, F. L., & Jackson, G. B. (1982). *Meta-analysis: Cumulating research findings across studies*. Beverly Hills, CA: Sage.

- Hunter, J. E., Schmidt, F. L., & Pearlman, K. (1982). History and accuracy of validity generalization equations: A response to the Callender and Osburn reply. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 67*, 853–858.
- Iyengar, S., & Greenhouse, J. B. (1988). Selection models and the file drawer problem. *Statistical Science, 3*, 109–135.
- Jackson, G. B. (1980). Methods for integrative reviews. *Review of Educational Research, 50*, 438–460.
- James, L. R., Demaree, R. G., & Mulaik, S. A. (1986). A note on validity generalization procedures. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 71*, 440–450.
- James, L. R., Demaree, R. G., Mulaik, S. A., & Ladd, R. T. (1992). Validity generalization in the context of situational models. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 77*, 3–14.
- Johnson, B. T., & Eagly, A. H. (2000). Quantitative synthesis of social psychological research. In H. T. Reis & C. M. Judd (Eds.), *Handbook of research methods in social and personality psychology* (pp. 496–528). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Johnson, B. T., Mullen, B., & Salas, E. (1995). Comparison of three major meta-analytic approaches. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 80*, 94–106.
- Johnson, N. L., Kotz, S., & Balakrishnan, N. (1995). *Continuous univariate distributions* (2nd ed., Vol. 2). New York: Wiley.
- Kalaian, H. A., & Raudenbush, S. W. (1996). A multivariate mixed linear model for meta-analysis. *Psychological Methods, 3*, 227–235.
- Kavale, K. A. (1995). Meta-analysis at 20. Retrospect and prospect. *Evaluation & The Health Professions, 18*, 349–369.
- Konishi, S. (1978). An approximation to the distribution of the sample correlation coefficient. *Biometrika, 65*, 654–656.
- Konishi, S. (1981). Normalizing transformations of some statistics in multivariate analysis. *Biometrika, 68*, 647–651.
- Koslowsky, M., & Sagie, A. (1993). On the efficacy of credibility intervals as indicators of moderator effects in meta-analytic research. *Journal of Organizational Behavior, 14*, 695–699.
- Kraemer, H. C. (1973). Improved approximation to the non-null distribution of the correlation coefficient. *Journal of the American Statistical Association, 68*, 1004–1008.
- Kraemer, H. C. (1975). On estimation and hypothesis testing problems for correlation coefficients. *Psychometrika, 40*, 473–485.
- Kraemer, H. C. (1983). Theory of estimation and testing of effect sizes: Use in meta-analysis. *Journal of Educational Statistics, 8*, 93–101.
- Kraemer, H. C., & Paik, M. (1979). A central *t* approximation to the noncentral *t* distribution. *Technometrics, 21*, 357–360.
- Landy, F. J. (2003). Validity generalization: Then and now. In K. R. Murphy (Ed.), *Validity generalization: A critical review* (pp. 155–195). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Lane, D. M., & Dunlap, W. P. (1978). Estimating effect size: Bias resulting from the significance criterion in editorial decisions. *British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology, 31*, 107–112.

- Lau, J., Ioannidis, J. P. A., & Schmid, C. H. (1998). Summing up evidence: One answer is not always enough. *Lancet*, *351*, 123–127.
- Law, K. S. (1992). Estimation accuracy of Thomas's likelihood-based procedure of meta-analysis: A Monte Carlo simulation. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, *77*, 986–995.
- Law, K. S. (1995). The use of Fisher's z in Schmidt-Hunter-type meta-analyses. *Journal of Educational and Behavioral Statistics*, *20*, 287–306.
- Law, K. S., Schmidt, F. L., & Hunter, J. E. (1994). Nonlinearity of range corrections in meta-analysis: Test of an improved procedure. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, *79*, 425–438.
- Lent, R. H., Aurbach, H. A., & Levin, L. S. (1971). Research design and validity assessment. *Personnel Psychology*, *24*, 247–274.
- Light, R. J., Singer, J., & Willet, J. B. (1994). The visual presentation and interpretation of meta-analyses. In H. M. Cooper & L. V. Hedges (Eds.), *The handbook of research synthesis* (pp. 439–453). New York: Russell Sage Foundation.
- Light, R. J., & Smith, P. V. (1971). Accumulating evidence: Procedures for resolving contradictions among different studies. *Harvard Educational Review*, *41*, 429–471.
- Lipsey, M. W., & Wilson, D. B. (1993). The efficacy of psychological, educational, and behavioral treatment. *American Psychologist*, *48*, 1181–1209.
- Lipsey, M. W., & Wilson, D. B. (2001). *Practical meta-analysis*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Lord, F. M., & Novick, M. R. (1968). *Statistical theories of mental test scores*. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley.
- Malzahn, U. (2003). Meta-analysis: A general principle for estimating heterogeneity variance in several models. In R. Schulze, H. Holling, & D. Böhning (Eds.), *Meta-analysis: New developments and applications in medical and social sciences* (pp. 41–52). Seattle, WA: Hogrefe & Huber.
- Malzahn, U., Böhning, D., & Holling, H. (2000). Nonparametric estimation of heterogeneity variance for the standardized difference used in meta-analysis. *Biometrika*, *87*, 619–632.
- Martinussen, M., & Bjørnstad, J. F. (1999). Meta-analysis calculations based on independent and nonindependent cases. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, *59*, 928–950.
- Matsumoto, M., & Nishimura, T. (1998). Mersenne Twister: A 623-dimensionally equidistributed uniform pseudorandom number generator. *ACM Transactions on Modeling and Computer Simulation*, *8*, 3–30.
- Matt, G. E. (1989). Decision rules for selecting effect sizes in meta-analysis: A review and reanalysis of psychotherapy outcome studies. *Psychological Bulletin*, *105*, 106–115.
- Matt, G. E. (2003). Will it work in Münster? Meta-analysis in the empirical generalization of causal relationships. In R. Schulze, H. Holling, & D. Böhning (Eds.), *Meta-analysis: New developments and applications in medical and social sciences* (pp. 113–139). Seattle, WA: Hogrefe & Huber.
- Meehl, P. E. (1978). Theoretical risks and tabular asterisks: Sir Karl, Sir Ronald, and the slow progress of soft psychology. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, *46*, 806–834.

- Meehl, P. E. (1990). Why summaries of research on psychological theories are often uninterpretable. *Psychological Reports, 66*, 195–244.
- Mi, J. (1990). Notes on the MLE of correlation coefficient in meta-analysis. *Communication in Statistics — Theory and Methods, 19*, 2035–2052.
- Miller, N., & Pollock, V. E. (1995). Use of meta-analysis for testing theory. *Evaluation & The Health Professions, 18*, 370–392.
- Morris, S. B., & DeShon, R. P. (1997). Correcting effect sizes computed from factorial analysis of variance for use in meta-analysis. *Psychological Methods, 2*, 192–199.
- Mulaik, S. A., Raju, N. S., & Harshman, R. A. (1997). There is a time and place for significance testing. In L. L. Harlow, S. A. Mulaik, & J. H. Steiger (Eds.), *What if there were no significance tests?* (pp. 65–115). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Murphy, K. R. (2000). Impact of assessments of validity generalization and situational specificity on the science and practice of personnel selection. *International Journal of Selection and Assessment, 8*, 194–206.
- Murphy, K. R. (Ed.). (2003). *Validity generalization*. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- National Research Council. (1992). *Combining information: Statistical issues and opportunities for research*. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.
- Normand, S.-L. T. (1999). Tutorial in biostatistics. Meta-analysis: Formulating, evaluating, combining, and reporting. *Statistics in Medicine, 18*, 321–359.
- Olejnik, S., & Algina, J. (2000). Measures of effect size for comparative studies: Applications, interpretations, and limitations. *Contemporary Educational Psychology, 25*, 241–286.
- Olkin, I. (1967). Correlations revisited [with discussion]. In J. Stanley (Ed.), *Improving experimental design and statistical analysis. Seventh annual phi delta kappa symposium on educational research* (pp. 102–128). Chicago, IL: Rand McNally.
- Olkin, I. (1990). History and goals. In K. W. Wachter & M. L. Straf (Eds.), *The future of meta-analysis* (pp. 3–10). New York: Russell Sage Foundation.
- Olkin, I., & Pratt, J. W. (1958). Unbiased estimation of certain correlation coefficients. *Annals of Mathematical Statistics, 29*, 201–211.
- Osburn, H. G., & Callender, J. C. (1990). Bias in validity generalization variance estimates: A reply to Hoben Thomas. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 75*, 328–333.
- Osburn, H. G., & Callender, J. C. (1992). A note on the sampling variance of the mean uncorrected correlation in meta-analysis and validity generalization. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 77*, 115–122.
- Oswald, F. L., & Johnson, J. W. (1998). On the robustness, bias, and stability of statistics from meta-analysis of correlation coefficients: Some initial Monte Carlo findings. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 83*, 164–178.
- Overton, R. C. (1998). A comparison of fixed-effects and mixed (random-effects) models for meta-analysis tests of moderator variable effects. *Psychological Methods, 3*, 354–379.
- Paul, S. R. (1988). Estimation of and testing significance for a common correlation coefficient. *Communication in Statistics — Theory and Methods, 17*, 39–53.
- Raju, N. S., Anselmi, T. V., Goodman, J. S., & Thomas, A. (1998). The effect of correlated artifacts and true validity on the accuracy of parameter estimation in validity generalization. *Personnel Psychology, 51*, 453–465.

- Raju, N. S., Burke, M. J., Normand, J., & Langlois, G. M. (1991). A new meta-analytic approach. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 76*, 432–446.
- Raudenbush, S. W. (1994). Random effects models. In H. M. Cooper & L. V. Hedges (Eds.), *The handbook of research synthesis* (pp. 301–321). New York: Russell Sage Foundation.
- Raudenbush, S. W., & Bryk, A. S. (2002). *Hierarchical linear models: Applications and data analysis methods* (2nd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Ray, J. W., & Shadish, W. R., Jr. (1996). How interchangeable are different estimators of effect size? *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 64*, 1316–1325.
- Reed, J. G., & Baxter, P. M. (1994). Using reference databases. In H. M. Cooper & L. V. Hedges (Eds.), *The handbook of research synthesis* (pp. 57–70). New York: Russell Sage Foundation.
- Rosenthal, R. (1978). Combining results of independent studies. *Psychological Bulletin, 85*, 185–193.
- Rosenthal, R. (1979). The “file drawer problem” and tolerance for null results. *Psychological Bulletin, 86*, 638–641.
- Rosenthal, R. (1991). *Meta-analytic procedures for social research* (Rev. ed.). Newbury Park, CA: Sage.
- Rosenthal, R. (1993). Cumulating evidence. In K. Gideon & C. Lewis (Eds.), *A handbook for data analysis in the behavioral science: Methodological issues* (pp. 519–559). Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Rosenthal, R. (1994). Parametric measures of effect size. In H. M. Cooper & L. V. Hedges (Eds.), *The handbook of research synthesis* (pp. 231–244). New York: Russell Sage Foundation.
- Rosenthal, R., & DiMatteo, M. R. (2001). Meta-analysis: Recent developments in quantitative methods for research reviews. *Annual Review of Psychology, 52*, 59–82.
- Rosenthal, R., Rosnow, R. L., & Rubin, D. B. (2000). *Contrasts and effect sizes in behavioral research: A correlational approach*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Rosenthal, R., & Rubin, D. B. (1979). Comparing significance levels of independent studies. *Psychological Bulletin, 86*, 1165–1168.
- Rosenthal, R., & Rubin, D. B. (1982). Comparing effect sizes of independent studies. *Psychological Bulletin, 92*, 500–504.
- Rosnow, R. L., & Rosenthal, R. (1996). Computing contrasts, effect sizes, and counternulls on other people’s published data: General procedures for research consumers. *Psychological Methods, 1*, 331–340.
- Rossi, J. S. (1997). A case study in the failure of psychology as a cumulative science: The spontaneous recovery of verbal learning. In L. L. Harlow, S. A. Mulaik, & J. H. Steiger (Eds.), *What if there were no significance tests?* (pp. 175–197). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Ruben, H. (1966). Some new results on the distribution of the sample correlation coefficient. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society, Series B, 28*, 513–525.
- Rust, T., Lehmann, D. R., & Farley, J. U. (1990). Estimating publication bias in meta-analysis. *Journal of Marketing Research, 27*, 220–226.
- Sackett, P. R., Harris, M. M., & Orr, J. M. (1986). On seeking moderator variables in the meta-analysis of correlational data: A Monte Carlo investigation of statistical power and resistance to Type I error. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 71*, 302–310.

- Sagie, A., & Koslowsky, M. (1993). Detecting moderators with meta-analysis: An evaluation and comparison of techniques. *Personnel Psychology, 46*, 629–640.
- Samiuddin, M. (1970). On a test for an assigned value of correlation in a bivariate normal distribution. *Biometrika, 57*, 461–464.
- Sánchez-Meca, J., & Marín-Martínez, F. (1997). Homogeneity tests in meta-analysis: A Monte-Carlo comparison of statistical power and Type I error. *Quality & Quantity, 31*, 385–399.
- Sánchez-Meca, J., & Marín-Martínez, F. (1998a). Testing continuous moderators in meta-analysis: A comparison of methods. *British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology, 51*, 311–326.
- Sánchez-Meca, J., & Marín-Martínez, F. (1998b). Weighting by inverse variance or by sample size in meta-analysis: A simulation study. *Educational and Psychological Measurement, 58*, 211–220.
- Sauerbrei, W., & Blettner, M. (2003). Issues of traditional reviews and meta-analyses of observational studies in medical research. In R. Schulze, H. Holling, & D. Böhning (Eds.), *Meta-analysis: New developments and applications in medical and social sciences* (pp. 79–98). Seattle, WA: Hogrefe & Huber.
- Scheffé, H. (1999). *The analysis of variance*. New York: Wiley. (Original work published 1959)
- Schlattmann, P., Malzahn, U., & Böhning, D. (2003). META — a software package for meta-analysis. In R. Schulze, H. Holling, & D. Böhning (Eds.), *Meta-analysis: New developments and applications in the biomedical and social sciences* (pp. 251–258). Seattle, WA: Hogrefe & Huber.
- Schmidt, F. L. (1992). What do data really mean? *American Psychologist, 47*, 1173–1181.
- Schmidt, F. L. (1996). Statistical significance testing and cumulative knowledge in psychology: Implications for training of researchers. *Psychological Methods, 1*, 115–129.
- Schmidt, F. L., & Hunter, J. E. (1977). Development of a general solution to the problem of validity generalization. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 62*, 529–540.
- Schmidt, F. L., & Hunter, J. E. (1995). The impact of data-analysis methods on cumulative research knowledge. *Evaluation & The Health Professions, 18*, 408–427.
- Schmidt, F. L., & Hunter, J. E. (1996). Measurement error in psychological research: Lessons from 26 research scenarios. *Psychological Methods, 1*, 199–223.
- Schmidt, F. L., & Hunter, J. E. (1997). Eight common but false objections to the discontinuation of significance testing in the analysis of research data. In L. L. Harlow, S. A. Mulaik, & J. H. Steiger (Eds.), *What if there were no significance tests?* (pp. 37–64). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Schmidt, F. L., & Hunter, J. E. (1998). The validity and utility of selection methods in personnel psychology: Practical and theoretical implications of 85 years of research findings. *Psychological Bulletin, 124*, 262–274.
- Schmidt, F. L., & Hunter, J. E. (1999a). Comparison of three meta-analysis methods revisited: An analysis of Johnson, Mullen, and Salas (1995). *Journal of Applied Psychology, 84*, 144–148.
- Schmidt, F. L., & Hunter, J. E. (1999b). Theory testing and measurement error. *Intelligence, 27*, 183–198.

- Schmidt, F. L., Hunter, J. E., & Pearlman, K. (1982). Progress in validity generalization: Comments on Callender and Osburn and further developments. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 67*, 835–845.
- Schmidt, F. L., Hunter, J. E., Pearlman, K., & Hirsh, H. R. (1985). Forty questions about validity generalization and meta-analysis. *Personnel Psychology, 38*, 697–801.
- Schmidt, F. L., Hunter, J. E., & Raju, N. S. (1988). Validity generalization and situational specificity: A second look at the 75% rule and Fisher's z transformation. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 73*, 665–672.
- Schmidt, F. L., Law, K. S., Hunter, J. E., Rothstein, H. R., Pearlman, K., & McDaniel, M. (1993). Refinements in validity generalization methods: Implications for the situational specificity hypothesis. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 78*, 3–12.
- Schulze, R., Holling, H., & Böhning, D. (Eds.). (2003). *Meta-analysis: New developments and applications in medical and social sciences*. Seattle, WA: Hogrefe & Huber.
- Schulze, R., & Wittmann, W. W. (2003). A meta-analysis of the theory of reasoned action and the theory of planned behavior: The principle of compatibility and multidimensionality of beliefs as moderators. In R. Schulze, H. Holling, & D. Böhning (Eds.), *Meta-analysis: New developments and applications in medical and social sciences* (pp. 219–250). Seattle, WA: Hogrefe & Huber.
- Schwarzer, G., Antes, G., & Schumacher, M. (2003). Statistical tests for the detection of bias in meta-analysis. In R. Schulze, H. Holling, & D. Böhning (Eds.), *Meta-analysis: New developments and applications in medical and social sciences* (pp. 71–78). Seattle, WA: Hogrefe & Huber.
- Seifert, T. L. (1991). Determining effect sizes in various experimental designs. *Educational and Psychological Measurement, 51*, 341–347.
- Shadish, W. R., Jr., Cook, T. D., & Campbell, D. T. (2002). *Experimental and quasi-experimental designs for generalized causal inference*. Boston, MA: Houghton Mifflin.
- Shadish, W. R., Jr., & Haddock, C. K. (1994). Combining estimates of effect size. In H. M. Cooper & L. V. Hedges (Eds.), *The handbook of research synthesis* (pp. 261–281). New York: Russell Sage Foundation.
- Sharpe, D. (1997). Of apples and oranges, file drawers and garbage: Why validity issues in meta-analysis will not go away. *Clinical Psychology Review, 17*, 881–901.
- Silver, N. C., & Dunlap, W. P. (1987). Averaging correlation coefficients: Should Fisher's z transformation be used? *Journal of Applied Psychology, 72*, 146–148.
- Skrondal, A. (2000). Design and analysis of Monte Carlo experiments: Attacking the conventional wisdom. *Multivariate Behavioral Research, 35*, 137–167.
- Smith, M. L., & Glass, G. V. (1977). Meta-analysis of psychotherapy outcome studies. *American Psychologist, 32*, 752–760.
- Snedecor, G. W., & Cochran, W. G. (1967). *Statistical methods* (6th ed.). Ames, IA: Iowa State University Press.
- Sohn, D. (1995). Meta-analysis as a means of discovery. *American Psychologist, 50*, 108–110.
- Sohn, D. (1997). Questions for meta-analysis. *Psychological Reports, 81*, 3–15.
- Spector, P. E., & Levine, E. L. (1987). Meta-analysis for integrating study outcomes: A Monte Carlo study of its susceptibility to Type I and Type II errors. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 72*, 3–9.

- Spivak, M. (1967). *Calculus*. New York: W. A. Benjamin.
- Steiner, D., Lane, I. M., Dobbins, G. H., Schnur, A., & McDonnell, S. (1991). A review of meta-analyses in organizational behavior and human resources management: An empirical assessment. *Educational and Psychological Measurement, 51*, 609–626.
- Stuart, A., & Ord, K. (1994). *Kendall's advanced theory of statistics* (6th ed., Vol. 1: Distribution theory). London: Arnold.
- Stuart, A., Ord, K., & Arnold, S. (1999). *Kendall's advanced theory of statistics* (6th ed., Vol. 2A: Classical inference and the linear model). London: Arnold.
- Sutton, A. J., Abrams, K. R., Jones, D. R., Sheldon, T. A., & Song, F. (2000). *Methods for meta-analysis in medical research*. New York: Wiley.
- Tett, R. P., Meyer, J. P., & Roese, N. J. (1994). Applications of meta-analysis: 1987–1992. In C. L. Cooper & I. T. Robertson (Eds.), *International review of industrial and organizational psychology* (Vol. 9, pp. 71–112). Chichester: Wiley.
- Thomas, H. (1989a). *Distributions of correlation coefficients*. New York: Springer.
- Thomas, H. (1989b). A mixture model for distributions of correlation coefficients. *Psychometrika, 54*, 523–530.
- Thomas, H. (1990a). What is the interpretation of the validity generalization estimate $s_p^2 = s_r^2 - s_e^2$? *Journal of Applied Psychology, 75*, 13–20.
- Thomas, H. (1990b). A likelihood-based model for validity generalization. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 75*, 13–20.
- Thompson, B. (1989). Meta-analysis of factor structure studies: A case study example with Bem's androgyny measure. *Journal of Experimental Education, 58*, 187–197.
- Vacha-Haase, T., Nilsson, J. E., Reetz, D. R., Lance, T. S., & Thompson, B. (2000). Reporting practices and APA editorial policies regarding statistical significance and effect size. *Theory & Psychology, 10*, 413–425.
- Van den Noortgate, W., & Onghena, P. (2003). Multilevel meta-analysis: A comparison with traditional meta-analytic procedures. *Educational and Psychological Measurement, 63*, 765–790.
- Vevea, J. L., & Hedges, L. V. (1995). A general linear model for estimating effect size in the presence of publication bias. *Psychometrika, 60*, 419–435.
- Viana, M. A. G. (1980). Statistical methods for summarizing independent correlational results. *Journal of Educational Statistics, 1*, 83–104.
- Viana, M. A. G. (1982). Combined estimators for the correlation coefficient. *Communication in Statistics — Theory and Methods, 11*, 1483–1504.
- Viswesvaran, C., & Ones, D. S. (1995). Theory testing: Combining psychometric meta-analysis and structural equations modeling. *Personnel Psychology, 48*, 865–885.
- Wanous, J. P., Sullivan, S. E., & Malinak, J. (1989). The role of judgement calls in meta-analysis. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 74*, 259–264.
- White, H. D. (1994). Scientific communication and literature retrieval. In H. M. Cooper & L. V. Hedges (Eds.), *The handbook of research synthesis* (pp. 41–55). New York: Russell Sage Foundation.
- Whitener, E. M. (1990). Confusion of confidence intervals and credibility intervals in meta-analysis. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 75*, 315–321.

- Wilkinson, L., & Task Force on Statistical Inference. (1999). Statistical methods in psychology journals: Guidelines and explanations. *American Psychologist, 54*, 594–604.
- Wilson, D. B., & Lipsey, M. W. (2001). The role of method in treatment effectiveness research: Evidence from meta-analysis. *Psychological Methods, 4*, 413–429.
- Wolf, F. M. (1986). *Meta-analysis: Quantitative methods for research synthesis*. Newbury Park, CA: Sage.
- Wortman, P. M. (1994). Judging research quality. In H. M. Cooper & L. V. Hedges (Eds.), *The handbook of research synthesis* (pp. 97–109). New York: Russell Sage Foundation.
- Zakzannis, K. K. (1998). The reliability of meta-analytic review. *Psychological Reports, 83*, 215–222.